



BRIEFING - FOR RELEASE
July 5, 2011
The San Angelo Index of Elders

There are nearly 13,000 senior citizens living in San Angelo. The mental pictures we have of “senior living” vary from well-healed images of energetic country-clubbers spoiling grandchildren and traveling the world to depressing visions of frailty, isolation, and illness. The real lives of seniors vary too; with a few approximating the picture of the well-healed, more approaching the depressing vision, but most falling somewhere in between.

At the ASU Center for Community Wellness, Engagement, and Development, we have developed a method to measure the quality of life of elder San Angelo residents. The San Angelo Index of Elders uses 30 indicators to compare the city’s 19 census tract neighborhoods. We based most of the indicators on the US Census Bureau’s 2005-2009 combined American Community Survey. A few, such as crime victimization of seniors, use information from local sources.

The following table lists the indicators in the *San Angelo Index of Elders* and provides the citywide values and adjusted scores for each one. We grouped the indicators into demographic factors, items describing neighborhoods and housing, aspects of income, and two issue areas of special interest to seniors – isolation and guardianship of grandchildren.

The values are the actual (raw) numbers for each indicator. Examples show that:

1. seniors make up 13.9 percent of the city population,
2. the median income of senior households is 76.6 percent of the citywide median household income,
3. the poverty rate among seniors is 10 percent,
4. 32.2 percent of seniors are living alone,
5. 37.9 percent of grandparents who have responsibility for grandchildren are age 60 or older.

San Angelo	Value	Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)		61
Demographic Indicators (7)		49
Percent Seniors in the Population	13.9	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change	2.0	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females	65.9	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors	312.1	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over	29.9	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors	24.3	75.7
Percent High School or More Education	70.4	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)		59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member	32.2	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners	79.8	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income	73.6	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median	76.6	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors	7.2	94.2
Income Indicators (8)		67
Senior Poverty Rate	10.0	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty	-0.8	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold	2.3	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold	7.1	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate	14.3	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security	29.4	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average	100.0	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps	8.4	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)		71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone	32.2	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle	12.9	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well	5.4	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed	32.3	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)		47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren	6.1	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility	56.8	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More	40.9	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over	37.9	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male	38.7	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married	69.9	69.9
The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.		

The adjusted scores solve a key problem unanswerable by focusing only on the raw values. Asking a simple question about any one of the values in the table illustrates the problem. For example, is the first value that seniors comprise 13.9 percent of the citywide population a large number in comparison with the neighborhoods, or is it small?

To answer the question, the adjusted score locates the 13.9 percent within the set of values for the various neighborhoods. It takes account of the fact that the Central neighborhood has only 9.5 percent seniors (the lowest in the city) and Fort Concho has 24.1 percent (the highest). The adjusted score sets the lowest value to zero, in this case the Central neighborhood. The neighborhood with the highest value, Fort Concho in this instance, is set to 100. Then, the other values for the city and neighborhoods are located as percentages of the difference between the low and high outcomes. In this example, the citywide number is 30.2 percent of the difference between the low and high, so the presence of seniors for the city population as a whole is relatively low in comparison to their presence in the neighborhoods. For every indicator, the lowest neighborhood outcome (including the city overall) has an adjusted score of zero and the highest raw outcome receives an adjusted score of 100. All other neighborhoods get adjusted scores reflecting their location between the highest and lowest actual outcomes for the indicator.

The adjusted scores for the indicator groups and the total Elder Index have similar meaning. Consequently, when the citywide values for the six guardianship indicators are combined, the result falls 47 percent of the distance between the low and high results for the different neighborhoods. The total Elder Index score tells us the citywide combined result for all thirty indicators sits 60 percent of the way between the lowest and highest combination for the neighborhoods.

Another thing the adjusted scores consider is the fact that some indicators point to factors that generally have a negative effect on the quality of life. For example, senior crime victimization measures the number of reported crimes involving a senior victim. The quality of life, in general, is better for seniors when they live in a city or neighborhood where this indicator is low. Thus, our methodology gives higher adjusted scores to lower raw values when an indicator such as crime victimization focuses on factors that negatively impact the quality of life. We adjusted the shaded indicators in the table in this way.

Since the adjusted scores standardize raw values to determine where each one is located in relation to the city overall and its neighborhoods, it is also possible to see where each neighborhood stands relative to the others. Full detail on the indicator values and adjusted scores for each of the 19 census tract neighborhoods is available in the fact-sheet appendix attached to this briefing. The following table summarizes the neighborhood-level results for the total Elder

Index and the indicator groups. The shaded areas note neighborhood scores that come in below the overall city as listed across the bottom.

Neighborhood Adjusted Scores & Rank	Census Tract	Elder Index (30 Indicators)	Rank	Demographic Indicators (7)	Rank	Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	Rank	Income Indicators (8)	Rank	Isolation Indicators (4)	Rank	Guardianship Indicators (6)	Rank
Santa Rita	10	100	1	77	6	70	8	95	2	90	4	100	1
Vista del Arroyo	13.04	89	2	42	14	100	1	92	3	73	13	99	2
ASU - College Hills	13.01	82	3	97	2	81	3	81	6	90	3	32	15
Bentwood-Nasworthy	17.05	79	4	79	5	72	6	83	5	100	1	42	9
Central	11.02	77	5	100	1	60	13	61	14	80	8	39	10
Riverside	1	75	6	80	4	88	2	72	9	87	6	34	13
Sunset	13.03	73	7	91	3	70	7	85	4	92	2	20	18
Southland	17.03	72	8	64	8	51	14	74	8	76	10	54	7
Belaire	8	70	9	53	11	73	4	62	13	74	11	61	5
Downtown	6	70	10	57	10	12	18	100	1	47	17	65	4
The Bluffs	11.01	68	11	71	7	72	5	71	10	82	7	37	11
Lake View	2	66	12	62	9	69	9	62	12	77	9	43	8
Paulann	3	60	13	40	15	63	12	60	15	73	12	55	6
Reagan	4	56	14	25	17	67	11	50	16	58	14	65	3
Rio Vista	14	56	15	37	16	69	10	70	11	89	5	37	12
Fort Concho - East	7	41	16	45	13	37	15	34	17	52	16	33	14
Angelo Heights	12	36	17	46	12	35	16	26	18	54	15	25	16
Fort Concho	9	23	18	0	19	20	17	74	7	14	18	25	17
Blackshear	5	0	19	17	18	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19
San Angelo		61		49		59		67		71		47	

Shaded scores for the neighborhoods are lower than the citywide score at the bottom of each column.

San Angelo’s best quality of life for seniors, based on the 30 indicators in the Elder Index, is in the Santa Rita neighborhood. Some highlights from the detail table on page 17 below are that a relatively small percentage of Santa Rita seniors (16.1%) are 80 years or older, the percentage of minority seniors (12.1%) is relatively small, and a large majority (87.8%) have a high school education or better. Similarly large percentages of seniors in Santa Rita are homeowners (94.7%) who enjoy manageable housing costs (87.1% have costs that are less than 30 percent of their household income).

Crime victimization of seniors in Santa Rita is also relatively low (7.3 reports per 100 seniors). Virtually no seniors in Santa Rita live on incomes that are below the poverty threshold, and virtually no neighborhood seniors have guardianship responsibility for grandchildren. Furthermore, relatively few seniors in Santa Rita live in isolating conditions because they are widowed, live alone, lack access to vehicles, or do not speak English.

The flip side of Santa Rita is Blackshear, the San Angelo neighborhood where quality of life for seniors is most challenging. Examples from the neighborhood detail table on page 12 are that some 29 percent of seniors in Blackshear are age 80 or older, virtually all are of minority racial or ethnic background, and only about 34 percent have a high school education or better.

A similarity with Santa Rita is that a large majority of Blackshear seniors (90.8%) are homeowners. However, household income for seniors in Blackshear is much more limiting. The senior poverty rate is high (39.3%) and many Blackshear households with senior members (38.2%) receive food stamps.

Crime victimization of seniors in Blackshear is high (30 reports per 100 seniors), and factors that tend to isolate seniors (living alone, lacking vehicle access,

inability to speak English, and widowhood) are relatively pervasive in this neighborhood. Although few grandparents (5.6%) in Blackshear are living with their grandchildren, the chances are high in this neighborhood that, when grandparents do live with grandchildren, they also assume guardianship.

Santa Rita, as the summary table shows, is the only neighborhood in the city where the adjusted scores for all five indicator groups are above the corresponding citywide scores. Again, on the flip side, Blackshear is one of three neighborhoods with scores for all five indicator groups that are below the citywide scores.

Of course, not all senior residents of Santa Rita live the life of the well-healed country-clubbers pictured at the beginning of this briefing. Nor are all seniors in Blackshear suffering frailty, isolation and illness. Nevertheless, Santa Rita offers the most elder-friendly living environment in the city with Blackshear having the most challenging. The other neighborhoods are somewhere in between.

The following map depicts the 19 census tract neighborhoods in the *San Angelo Index of Elders*. Goodfellow Air Force Base (GAFB) is not included as one of the comparison neighborhoods because resident characteristics are unique to the military. The surrounding Belaire area, however, is included in the study. The fact-sheet appendix, available below, provides complete detail on each of the 19 neighborhoods.

The *San Angelo Index of Elders* is a project of Community Development Initiatives, a community engagement program at the Angelo State University Center for Community Wellness, Engagement, and Development.

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

The San Angelo Index of Elders The Neighborhoods



***Fact-Sheet Appendix
The San Angelo Index of Elders***

***Neighborhood Detail
in order of Census Tract Numbers***

Riverside (Tract 1)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	6			75	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	4			80	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		13.8	13.9	29.2	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		18.4	2.0	59.1	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		82.8	65.9	67.8	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		376.7	312.1	56.3	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		17.8	29.9	93.3	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		12.6	24.3	87.4	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		66.7	70.4	50.1	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	2			88	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		31.6	32.2	25.5	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		99.4	79.8	99.5	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		76.0	73.6	70.7	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		96.6	76.6	47.2	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		4.9	7.2	98.1	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	9			72	67
Senior Poverty Rate		6.8	10.0	82.7	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-4.3	-0.8	61.1	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		2.3	7.1	94.0	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		25.9	14.3	35.8	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		24.1	29.4	6.9	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		84.7	100.0	20.2	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		2.9	8.4	92.4	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	6			87	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		24.3	32.2	91.8	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		0.0	12.9	100.0	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		10.4	5.4	40.9	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		25.6	32.3	72.5	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	13			34	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		2.1	6.1	87.8	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		70.4	56.8	29.6	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		70.4	40.9	29.6	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		100.0	37.9	0.0	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		52.6	38.7	52.6	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		100.0	69.9	100.0	69.9

*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Riverside scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 6th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Lake View (Tract 2)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	12			66	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	4			80	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		11.6	13.9	14.3	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		0.2	2.0	42.4	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		108.0	65.9	100.0	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		336.2	312.1	46.2	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		26.7	29.9	69.1	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		29.6	24.3	70.4	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		57.9	70.4	36.6	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	9			69	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		31.2	32.2	24.1	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		99.7	79.8	100.0	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		77.9	73.6	74.9	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		70.4	76.6	21.7	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		10.0	7.2	89.6	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	12			62	67
Senior Poverty Rate		12.5	10.0	68.2	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		7.9	-0.8	29.9	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		6.7	7.1	82.3	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		15.8	14.3	18.1	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		27.2	29.4	16.7	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		97.4	100.0	41.3	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		5.7	8.4	85.0	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	9			77	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		28.7	32.2	84.8	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		12.6	12.9	68.5	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		3.3	5.4	81.3	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		29.6	32.3	63.1	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	8			43	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		9.4	6.1	32.5	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		44.7	56.8	55.3	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		31.3	40.9	68.7	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		40.2	37.9	59.8	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		38.5	38.7	38.5	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		38.5	69.9	38.5	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Thus, Lake View scores higher than the overall city on total Index of Elders, but it ranks 12th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Paulann (Tract 3)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	13			60	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	15			40	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		15.8	13.9	43.1	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		2.3	2.0	44.3	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		69.9	65.9	51.2	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		279.6	312.1	32.2	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		26.6	29.9	69.3	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		24.0	24.3	76.0	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		58.6	70.4	37.7	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	12			63	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		27.2	32.2	8.8	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		83.5	79.8	74.3	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		78.4	73.6	76.0	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		67.8	76.6	19.1	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		4.2	7.2	99.2	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	15			60	67
Senior Poverty Rate		13.2	10.0	66.3	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		5.7	-0.8	35.5	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		1.7	2.3	86.7	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		5.7	7.1	85.1	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		11.3	14.3	10.3	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		25.1	29.4	9.9	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		99.8	100.0	45.3	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		6.4	8.4	83.3	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	12			73	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		23.6	32.2	92.9	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		17.3	12.9	56.8	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		7.4	5.4	57.8	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		31.8	32.3	58.0	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	6			55	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		4.2	6.1	71.8	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		32.7	56.8	67.3	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		24.0	40.9	76.0	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		100.0	37.9	0.0	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		64.7	38.7	64.7	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		100.0	69.9	100.0	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Paulann scores lower than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 13th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Reagan (Tract 4)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	14			56	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	17			25	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		13.7	13.9	28.8	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		-15.8	2.0	27.8	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		48.0	65.9	23.2	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		305.2	312.1	38.6	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		46.8	29.9	14.7	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		39.8	24.3	60.2	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		54.4	70.4	31.3	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	11			67	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		36.6	32.2	44.5	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		75.9	79.8	62.4	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		81.3	73.6	82.3	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		84.1	76.6	35.0	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		6.4	7.2	95.5	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	16			50	67
Senior Poverty Rate		15.1	10.0	61.5	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-7.5	-0.8	69.2	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		6.7	2.3	47.8	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		9.8	7.1	74.2	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		5.4	14.3	0.0	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		35.3	29.4	42.2	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		83.5	100.0	18.2	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		18.2	8.4	52.5	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	14			58	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		34.1	32.2	76.1	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		12.4	12.9	68.9	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		17.5	5.4	0.0	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		38.0	32.3	43.7	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	3			65	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		13.7	6.1	0.0	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		31.3	56.8	68.7	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		20.6	40.9	79.4	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		37.5	37.9	62.5	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		49.1	38.7	49.1	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		100.0	69.9	100.0	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Reagan scores lower than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 14th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Blackshear (Tract 5)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	19			0	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	18			17	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		10.7	13.9	8.0	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		-46.0	2.0	0.0	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		48.5	65.9	23.8	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		372.0	312.1	55.1	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		29.3	29.9	62.0	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		100.0	24.3	0.0	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		34.0	70.4	0.0	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	19			0	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		27.0	32.2	8.0	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		90.8	79.8	85.9	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		51.8	73.6	17.9	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		58.1	76.6	9.8	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		30.0	7.2	56.9	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	19			0	67
Senior Poverty Rate		39.3	10.0	0.0	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		5.2	-0.8	36.8	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		6.7	2.3	48.5	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		38.0	7.1	0.0	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		8.0	14.3	4.5	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		23.5	29.4	5.2	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		72.5	100.0	0.0	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		38.2	8.4	0.0	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	19			0	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		81.3	32.2	0.0	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		37.6	12.9	6.0	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		14.7	5.4	16.3	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		52.7	32.3	9.6	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	19			0	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		5.6	6.1	61.3	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		100.0	56.8	0.0	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		100.0	40.9	0.0	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		32.3	37.9	67.7	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		0.0	38.7	0.0	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		0.0	69.9	0.0	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Blackshear scores lower than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 19th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Downtown (Tract 6)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	10			70	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	10			57	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		9.9	13.9	2.7	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		63.0	2.0	100.0	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		46.7	65.9	21.5	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		312.5	312.1	40.4	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		42.0	29.9	27.6	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		0.0	24.3	100.0	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		48.9	70.4	22.8	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	18			12	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		45.4	32.2	78.1	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		36.4	79.8	0.0	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		58.4	73.6	32.4	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		123.9	76.6	73.6	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		64.8	7.2	0.0	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	1			100	67
Senior Poverty Rate		0.0	10.0	100.0	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-16.7	-0.8	92.6	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		0.0	7.1	100.0	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		62.5	14.3	100.0	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		43.0	29.4	66.1	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		82.5	100.0	16.6	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		17.8	8.4	53.3	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	17			47	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		61.4	32.2	32.1	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		0.0	12.9	100.0	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		0.0	5.4	100.0	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		56.8	32.3	0.0	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	4			65	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		2.8	6.1	82.2	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		100.0	56.8	0.0	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		0.0	40.9	100.0	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		0.0	37.9	100.0	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		No Data	38.7	No Data	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		No Data	69.9	No Data	69.9

*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Thus, Downtown scores higher than the overall city on total Index of Elders, but it ranks 10th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Fort Concho - East (Tract 7)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	16			41	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	13			45	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		11.1	13.9	10.6	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		-9.3	2.0	33.7	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		50.7	65.9	26.6	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		368.2	312.1	54.2	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		34.3	29.9	48.4	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		38.3	24.3	61.7	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		45.9	70.4	18.3	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	15			37	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		28.1	32.2	12.2	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		80.1	79.8	69.0	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		66.5	73.6	49.9	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		67.8	76.6	19.2	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		20.2	7.2	73.0	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	17			34	67
Senior Poverty Rate		36.2	10.0	8.0	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		19.6	-0.8	0.0	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		10.0	2.3	22.9	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		4.6	7.1	87.8	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		12.1	14.3	11.6	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		32.3	29.4	32.6	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		91.8	100.0	32.1	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		17.6	8.4	53.9	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	16			52	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		39.4	32.2	67.4	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		11.0	12.9	72.5	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		7.9	5.4	55.0	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		52.0	32.3	11.2	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	14			33	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		6.0	6.1	58.2	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		59.8	56.8	40.2	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		34.4	40.9	65.6	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		57.5	37.9	42.5	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		21.4	38.7	21.4	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		42.9	69.9	42.9	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Fort Concho-East scores lower than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and ranks 16th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Belaire (Tract 8)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	9			70	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	11			53	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		11.4	13.9	13.0	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		9.5	2.0	50.9	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		44.2	65.9	18.4	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		356.7	312.1	51.3	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		17.9	29.9	92.9	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		41.8	24.3	58.2	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		61.4	70.4	42.1	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	4			73	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		34.2	32.2	35.5	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		96.1	79.8	94.3	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		73.4	73.6	65.0	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		80.5	76.6	31.5	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		4.8	7.2	98.2	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	13			62	67
Senior Poverty Rate		14.9	10.0	62.1	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		9.3	-0.8	26.1	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		2.6	2.3	80.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		3.7	7.1	90.3	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		12.1	14.3	11.7	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		32.1	29.4	32.0	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		103.3	100.0	51.1	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		10.3	8.4	73.0	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	11			74	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		32.0	32.2	79.4	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		5.5	12.9	86.3	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		6.4	5.4	63.6	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		34.7	32.3	51.4	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	5			61	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		9.3	6.1	33.1	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		57.8	56.8	42.2	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		51.6	40.9	48.4	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		17.9	37.9	82.1	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		65.0	38.7	65.0	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		100.0	69.9	100.0	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Belaire scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, but it ranks 9th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Fort Concho (Tract 9)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	18			23	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	19			0	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		24.1	13.9	100.0	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		11.1	2.0	52.4	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		63.0	65.9	42.4	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		149.4	312.1	0.0	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		52.2	29.9	0.0	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		33.5	24.3	66.5	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		61.7	70.4	42.5	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	17			20	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		51.2	32.2	100.0	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		39.5	79.8	5.0	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		43.6	73.6	0.0	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		84.0	76.6	34.9	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		3.7	7.2	100.0	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	7			74	67
Senior Poverty Rate		8.4	10.0	78.7	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-16.7	-0.8	92.7	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		20.7	7.1	45.6	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		12.7	14.3	12.7	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		53.8	29.4	100.0	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		84.5	100.0	19.8	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		5.7	8.4	85.1	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	18			14	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		62.5	32.2	30.3	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		40.0	12.9	0.0	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		12.8	5.4	26.9	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		50.4	32.3	14.8	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	17			25	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		5.5	6.1	61.6	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		52.2	56.8	47.8	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		52.2	40.9	47.8	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		18.6	37.9	81.4	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		0.0	38.7	0.0	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		0.0	69.9	0.0	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Fort Concho scores lower than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 18th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Santa Rita (Tract 10)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	1			100	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	6			77	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		12.9	13.9	23.3	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		-18.5	2.0	25.3	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		79.2	65.9	63.1	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		381.4	312.1	57.4	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		16.1	29.9	97.8	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		12.1	24.3	87.9	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		87.8	70.4	82.4	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	8			70	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		27.5	32.2	9.8	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		94.7	79.8	92.0	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		87.1	73.6	94.9	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		70.1	76.6	21.4	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		7.3	7.2	94.1	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	2			95	67
Senior Poverty Rate		0.0	10.0	100.0	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-4.2	-0.8	60.7	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		0.0	7.1	100.0	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		26.4	14.3	36.7	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		21.9	29.4	0.1	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		128.7	100.0	93.2	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		5.1	8.4	86.7	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	4			90	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		30.2	32.2	82.2	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		3.1	12.9	92.2	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		2.3	5.4	86.7	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		20.2	32.3	85.0	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	1			100	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		0.5	6.1	100.0	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		0.0	56.8	100.0	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		0.0	40.9	100.0	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		No Data	37.9	No Data	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		No Data	38.7	No Data	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		No Data	69.9	No Data	69.9

*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Santa Rita scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 1st out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

The Bluffs (Tract 11.01)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	11			68	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	7			71	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		13.2	13.9	25.4	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		53.7	2.0	91.5	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		89.3	65.9	76.0	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		324.3	312.1	43.3	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		24.3	29.9	75.7	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		29.9	24.3	70.1	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		66.3	70.4	49.4	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	5			72	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		31.4	32.2	24.8	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		79.9	79.8	68.8	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		80.8	73.6	81.0	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		79.1	76.6	30.2	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		5.0	7.2	97.8	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	10			71	67
Senior Poverty Rate		8.6	10.0	78.1	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		2.0	-0.8	44.9	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		2.6	2.3	80.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		7.4	7.1	80.5	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		18.4	14.3	22.7	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		25.8	29.4	12.4	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		110.4	100.0	62.8	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		8.5	8.4	77.7	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	7			82	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		20.1	32.2	98.5	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		14.1	12.9	64.8	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		8.8	5.4	49.9	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		24.8	32.3	74.3	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	11			37	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		8.6	6.1	38.7	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		83.8	56.8	16.2	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		28.7	40.9	71.3	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		42.5	37.9	57.5	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		14.3	38.7	14.3	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		72.7	69.9	72.7	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. The Bluffs scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, but it ranks 11th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Central (Tract 11.02)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	5			77	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	1			100	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		9.5	13.9	0.0	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		-23.0	2.0	21.2	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		60.7	65.9	39.4	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		553.4	312.1	100.0	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		32.8	29.9	52.5	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		24.7	24.3	75.3	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		59.2	70.4	38.7	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	13			60	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		24.9	32.2	0.0	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		99.7	79.8	100.0	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		89.5	73.6	100.0	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		54.5	76.6	6.3	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		10.7	7.2	88.5	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	14			61	67
Senior Poverty Rate		10.1	10.0	74.4	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		6.3	-0.8	34.0	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		6.2	7.1	83.6	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		19.3	14.3	24.3	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		26.2	29.4	13.4	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		87.4	100.0	24.7	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		5.4	8.4	85.9	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	8			80	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		33.5	32.2	77.0	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		5.7	12.9	85.8	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		0.0	5.4	100.0	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		30.9	32.3	60.1	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	10			39	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		7.5	6.1	46.6	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		64.7	56.8	35.3	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		57.4	40.9	42.6	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		61.8	37.9	38.2	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		40.8	38.7	40.8	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		81.6	69.9	81.6	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Central scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 5th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Angelo Heights (Tract 12)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	17			36	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	12			46	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		11.1	13.9	10.8	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		-15.6	2.0	27.9	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		29.9	65.9	0.0	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		388.6	312.1	59.2	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		33.0	29.9	52.1	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		34.0	24.3	66.0	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		50.4	70.4	25.2	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	16			35	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		27.0	32.2	8.3	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		81.4	79.8	71.1	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		64.3	73.6	45.1	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		48.1	76.6	0.0	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		6.8	7.2	94.9	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	18			26	67
Senior Poverty Rate		18.9	10.0	52.0	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		10.9	-0.8	22.3	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		12.9	2.3	0.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		11.7	7.1	69.3	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		7.9	14.3	4.4	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		28.8	29.4	21.7	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		74.6	100.0	3.4	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		24.9	8.4	34.9	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	15			54	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		34.4	32.2	75.5	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		16.7	12.9	58.3	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		1.5	5.4	91.2	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		55.3	32.3	3.6	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	16			25	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		8.2	6.1	41.3	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		55.5	56.8	44.5	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		55.5	40.9	44.5	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		7.9	37.9	92.1	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		0.0	38.7	0.0	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		0.0	69.9	0.0	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Angelo Heights scores lower than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and ranks 17th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

ASU - College Hills (Tract 13.01)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	3			82	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	2			97	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		10.9	13.9	9.6	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		-17.5	2.0	26.2	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		93.5	65.9	81.4	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		440.9	312.1	72.1	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		16.4	29.9	97.0	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		9.6	24.3	90.4	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		89.6	70.4	85.2	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	3			81	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		27.4	32.2	9.7	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		86.4	79.8	79.0	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		81.0	73.6	81.6	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		99.0	76.6	49.5	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		5.2	7.2	97.5	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	6			81	67
Senior Poverty Rate		7.0	10.0	82.1	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-1.6	-0.8	54.0	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		2.4	7.1	93.7	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		13.3	14.3	13.8	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		23.9	29.4	6.4	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		118.3	100.0	76.0	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		1.8	8.4	95.3	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	3			90	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		27.0	32.2	87.5	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		5.0	12.9	87.6	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		0.0	5.4	100.0	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		23.2	32.3	78.0	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	15			32	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		1.8	6.1	90.3	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		100.0	56.8	0.0	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		68.2	40.9	31.8	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		27.3	37.9	72.7	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		100.0	38.7	100.0	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		0.0	69.9	0.0	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. ASU-College Hills scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 3rd out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Sunset (Tract 13.03)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	7			73	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	3			91	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		13.2	13.9	25.0	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		11.7	2.0	52.9	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		75.0	65.9	57.8	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		423.3	312.1	67.8	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		26.5	29.9	69.7	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		13.9	24.3	86.1	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		86.1	70.4	79.8	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	7			70	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		26.4	32.2	5.6	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		93.1	79.8	89.6	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		76.8	73.6	72.4	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		73.6	76.6	24.8	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		3.8	7.2	99.7	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	4			85	67
Senior Poverty Rate		1.4	10.0	96.5	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-3.1	-0.8	57.9	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		2.3	7.1	94.0	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		18.3	14.3	22.5	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		21.9	29.4	0.0	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		115.1	100.0	70.7	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		0.0	8.4	100.0	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	2			92	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		24.0	32.2	92.2	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		0.0	12.9	100.0	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		1.4	5.4	92.0	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		27.0	32.3	69.2	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	18			20	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		4.3	6.1	71.0	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		70.3	56.8	29.7	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		70.3	40.9	29.7	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		100.0	37.9	0.0	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		32.8	38.7	32.8	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		62.5	69.9	62.5	69.9

*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Sunset scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 7th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Vista del Arroyo (Tract 13.04)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	2			89	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	14			42	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		23.0	13.9	92.6	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		23.4	2.0	63.7	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		58.0	65.9	36.0	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		211.2	312.1	15.3	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		30.4	29.9	59.1	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		1.9	24.3	98.1	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		99.3	70.4	100.0	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	1			100	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		35.6	32.2	40.7	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		70.7	79.8	54.2	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		79.7	73.6	78.8	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		151.1	76.6	100.0	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		5.0	7.2	97.8	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	3			92	67
Senior Poverty Rate		6.8	10.0	82.8	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-5.2	-0.8	63.3	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		1.8	2.3	86.4	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		6.5	7.1	83.0	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		21.4	14.3	28.0	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		30.4	29.4	26.8	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		132.8	100.0	100.0	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		4.6	8.4	87.8	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	13			73	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		35.4	32.2	73.9	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		12.6	12.9	68.6	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		1.9	5.4	89.1	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		30.2	32.3	61.6	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	2			99	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		3.0	6.1	81.1	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		0.0	56.8	100.0	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		0.0	40.9	100.0	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		No Data	37.9	No Data	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		No Data	38.7	No Data	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		No Data	69.9	No Data	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Vista del Arroyo scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and ranks 2nd out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Rio Vista (Tract 14)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	15			56	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	16			37	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		17.2	13.9	52.9	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		34.2	2.0	73.6	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		80.7	65.9	65.0	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		256.3	312.1	26.4	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		27.8	29.9	66.2	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		52.9	24.3	47.1	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		55.6	70.4	33.1	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	10			69	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		31.4	32.2	24.7	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		83.8	79.8	74.9	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		82.1	73.6	84.1	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		74.1	76.6	25.3	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		8.0	7.2	93.0	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	11			70	67
Senior Poverty Rate		3.4	10.0	91.4	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-19.5	-0.8	100.0	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		1.6	2.3	87.9	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		17.9	7.1	52.9	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		11.9	14.3	11.3	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		27.3	29.4	17.1	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		95.8	100.0	38.5	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		8.3	8.4	78.3	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	5			89	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		23.2	32.2	93.5	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		9.0	12.9	77.4	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		11.1	5.4	36.7	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		13.7	32.3	100.0	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	12			37	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		6.3	6.1	56.3	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		73.8	56.8	26.2	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		35.2	40.9	64.8	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		81.3	37.9	18.7	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		41.4	38.7	41.4	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		78.2	69.9	78.2	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Rio Vista scores lower than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 15th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Southland (Tract 17.03)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	8			72	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	8			64	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		15.6	13.9	41.4	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		6.3	2.0	48.0	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		83.3	65.9	68.4	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		299.1	312.1	37.0	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		19.7	29.9	88.0	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		5.2	24.3	94.8	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		85.6	70.4	79.0	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	14			51	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		33.7	32.2	33.5	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		69.6	79.8	52.4	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		79.3	73.6	77.9	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		64.2	76.6	15.6	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		5.3	7.2	97.3	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	8			74	67
Senior Poverty Rate		5.9	10.0	85.0	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		-1.0	-0.8	52.6	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		1.2	2.3	90.8	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		2.5	7.1	93.5	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		14.5	14.3	15.9	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		29.0	29.4	22.3	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		102.9	100.0	50.4	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		5.3	8.4	86.0	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	10			76	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		33.5	32.2	77.0	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		16.5	12.9	58.8	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		1.3	5.4	92.3	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		25.0	32.3	73.9	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	7			54	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		4.5	6.1	69.9	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		78.1	56.8	21.9	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		52.7	40.9	47.3	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		0.0	37.9	100.0	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		No Data	38.7	No Data	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		No Data	69.9	No Data	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Southland scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and it ranks 8th out of the 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423

Bentwood-Nasworthy (Tract 17.05)	Rank*	Neighborhood Value	City Value	Neighborhood Adjusted Score	City Adjusted Score
Elder Index (30 Indicators)	4			79	61
Demographic Indicators (7)	5			79	49
Percent Seniors in the Population		13.7	13.9	28.8	30.2
2000-2009 Senior Population Change		30.4	2.0	70.1	44.1
Number of Senior Males per 100 Senior Females		79.9	65.9	64.0	46.0
Number of Employed Workers Age 16-64 per 100 Seniors		320.5	312.1	42.3	40.3
Percent Seniors Age 80 Years & Over		15.3	29.9	100.0	60.4
Percent Minority Seniors		0.0	24.3	100.0	75.7
Percent High School or More Education		93.8	70.4	91.7	55.8
Neighborhood & Housing Indicators (5)	6			72	59
Percent Households with an Age 60 or Over Member		36.0	32.2	42.3	28.0
Percent Senior Householders who are Owners		88.7	79.8	82.7	68.5
Percent Senior Householders with Housing Cost Less than 30% of Income		72.8	73.6	63.7	65.4
Senior Median Household Income as a Percent of Neighborhood Median		71.8	76.6	23.1	27.7
Senior Crime Victimization per 100 Seniors		4.9	7.2	98.0	94.2
Income Indicators (8)	5			83	67
Senior Poverty Rate		6.4	10.0	83.6	74.5
2000-2009 Change in Senior Poverty		3.8	-0.8	40.4	52.1
Senior Severe Poverty: Income Less than 50% of Poverty Threshold		0.0	2.3	100.0	82.5
Senior Near Poverty: Income Less Than 25% Above Poverty Threshold		7.9	7.1	79.3	81.4
Senior Labor Force Participation Rate		17.0	14.3	20.2	15.6
Percent Households Receiving Social Security		26.0	29.4	12.8	23.5
Average Social Security Income as a Percent of Citywide Average		127.4	100.0	91.0	45.6
Percent Households with Age 60 & Over Senior Receiving Food Stamps		0.9	8.4	97.7	78.1
Isolation Indicators (4)	1			100	71
Residential Isolation: Percent Seniors Living Alone		19.2	32.2	100.0	79.0
Vehicle Isolation: Percent Senior Households with No Vehicle		0.0	12.9	100.0	67.7
Language Isolation: Percent Seniors Not Speaking English Well		0.0	5.4	100.0	69.1
Bereavement: Percent Seniors Widowed		22.0	32.3	80.7	56.9
Guardianship Indicators (6)	9			42	47
Percent Grandparents (Age 30 and Over) Living with Own Grandchildren		3.5	6.1	77.3	57.7
Percent Living with Grandchildren Who Have Responsibility		62.5	56.8	37.5	43.2
Living with Grandchildren & Having Responsibility for One Year or More		33.3	40.9	66.7	59.1
Percent Responsible Who are Seniors Age 60 & Over		65.3	37.9	34.7	62.1
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Male		36.7	38.7	36.7	38.7
Percent Responsible Seniors Age 60 & Over Who are Married		73.5	69.9	73.5	69.9
*Ranking is based on the adjusted scores for neighborhoods. Bentwood-Nasworthy scores higher than the overall city on the total Index of Elders, and ranks 4th out of 19 neighborhoods. The adjusted scoring for the shaded indicators assigns higher scores to lower values.					



Community Development Initiatives

Contact: Casey Jones (casey.jones@angelo.edu) 486-6422
Kenneth Stewart (kenneth.stewart@angelo.edu) 486-6423



The San Angelo Index of Elders

Data Sources

- **San Angelo Police Department.**
- **U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000.**
- **U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates.**

We are grateful to Amanda M. Williams, Crime Analyst at the San Angelo Police Department, and Patrick Dowell, Project Manager at the ASU Center for Community Wellness, Engagement, and Development, for their assistance in obtaining neighborhood-level data on senior crime victimization. Also, gratitude goes to Dr. Alvin Dean Monroe for the excellent map depiction of the city's census tract neighborhoods.

The *San Angelo Index of Elders* is a project of Community Development Initiatives, a community engagement program at the Angelo State University Center for Community Wellness, Engagement, and Development.