#### Spring 2015

### **Cooperating Teacher Survey**

Certification Level of Clinical Teacher(s) (Required Element)

Form Element Type: One Answer Possible

Total Individual Response(s): 76 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Coun	Percent
ECH-6 GEN	30	39.47%
ECH-6 w SpEd	17	22.37%
8-Apr	0	0.00%
12-Jul	21	27.63%
All Level	8	10.53%

### **Support through Materials & Communication**

#### 1. University Supervisor Communication (Required Element)

Form Element Type: One Answer Possible

Total Individual Response(s): 76 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Coun	Percent
Outstanding	44	57.89%
Above Average	20	26.32%
Average	10	13.16%
Below Average	2	2.63%

#### 2. Educator Preparation Program Materials (Required Element)

Form Element Type: One Answer Possible

Total Individual Response(s): 76 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Coun	Percent
Outstanding	53	69.74%
Above Average	18	23.68%
Average	5	6.58%
Below Average	0	0.00%

#### 3. Educator Preparation Program (Required Element)

Form Element Type: One Answer Possible

**Total Individual Response(s):** 76 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Coun	Percent
Outstanding	42	55.26%
Above Average	25	32.89%
Average	9	11.84%
Below Average	0	0.00%

### **Program Elements**

In which of the following areas would the clinical teacher(s) have benefitted from additional training?

Form Element Type: Multiple Answers Possible

**Total Individual Response(s):** 58 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Coun	Percent
Content Knowledge	4	6.90%
Instruction and Pedagogical Content Knowledge	4	6.90%
Teaching Diverse Students	8	13.79%
Teaching Students with Diverse Needs	18	31.03%
Classroom Management	29	50.00%
Alignment of Teaching with State Standards	7	12.07%
Family and Community Engagement	6	10.34%
Assessment of Student Learning	7	12.07%
Motivating Students	8	13.79%
Curriculum Development and Lesson Planning	8	13.79%
Instructional Technology	3	5.17%
Certification Test Preparation	4	6.90%
Other (Write-in Response)	7	12.07%

### **Professional Dispositions**

### **Standard # 1: Learner Development** (Required Element)

Reference Label: Learner Development

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Individual Response(s): 76 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Total Distribution % Averag Median Mod		Distribution %		Mode	Standard	
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 1h: The candidate respects learners' differing	76	0.00%	14.47%	85.53%	2.86	3	3	0.35
strengths and needs and is committed to using this								
information to further each learner's development.								
Standard 1i: The candidate is committed to using	76	0.00%	18.42%	81.58%	2.82	3	3	0.39
learners' strengths as a basis for growth, and their								
misconceptions as opportunities for learning.								
Standard 1j: The candidate takes responsibility for	76	0.00%	14.47%	85.53%	2.86	3	3	0.35
promoting learners' growth and development.								
Standard 1k: The candidate values the input and	76	0.00%	18.42%	81.58%	2.82	3	3	0.39
contributions of families, colleagues, and other								
professionals in understanding and supporting each								
learner's development.								
Total	304	0.00%	16.45%	83.55%	2.84	3	3	0.37

### Standard #2: Learning Differences (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Averag	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 2I: The candidate believes that all learners can	76	0.00%	15.79%	84.21%	2.84	3	3	0.36
achieve at high levels and persists in helping each learner								
reach his/her full potential.								

Standard 2m: The candidate respects learners as	76	0.00%	9.21%	90.79%	2.91	3	3	0.29
individuals with differing personal and family								
backgrounds and various skills, abilities, perspectives,								
talents, and interests.								
Standard 2n: The candidate makes learners feel valued	76	0.00%	13.16%	86.84%	2.87	3	3	0.34
and helps them learn to value each other.								
Standard 20: The candidate values diverse languages and	76	1.32%	31.58%	67.11%	2.66	3	3	0.5
dialects and seeks to integrate them into his/her								
instructional practice to engage students in learning.								
Total	304	0.33%	17.43%	82.24%	2.82	3	3	0.39

### **Standard #3: Learning Environments** (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Di	stribution	%	Averag	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 3n: The candidate is committed to working with learners, colleagues, families, and communities to establish positive and supportive learning environments.	76	0.00%	14.47%	85.53%	2.86	3	3	0.35
Standard 30: The candidate values the role of learners in promoting each other's learning and recognizes the importance of peer relationships in establishing a climate of learning.		0.00%	18.42%	81.58%	2.82	3	3	0.39
Standard 3p: The candidate is committed to supporting learners as they participate in decision-making, engage in exploration and invention, work collaboratively and independently, and engage in purposeful learning.	76	0.00%	15.79%	84.21%	2.84	3	3	0.36
Standard 3q: The candidate seeks to foster respectful communication among all members of the learning community.	76	0.00%	13.16%	86.84%	2.87	3	3	0.34

Standard 3r: The candidate is a thoughtful and	76	0.00%	11.84%	88.16%	2.88	3	3	0.32
responsive listener and observer.								
Total	380	0.00%	14.74%	85.26%	2.85	3	3	0.35

## Standard #4: Content Knowledge (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

**Total Individual Response(s):** 76 Individual Response(s) **Response Legend:** 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %		Averag	Median	Mode	Standard	
		1	2	3	е			Deviation
Standard 4o: The candidate realizes that content	76	1.32%	19.74%	78.95%	2.78	3	3	0.45
knowledge is not a fixed body of facts but is complex,								
culturally situated, and ever evolving. S/he keeps abreast								
of new ideas and understandings in the field.								
Standard 4p: The candidate appreciates multiple	76	0.00%	23.68%	76.32%	2.76	3	3	0.43
perspectives within the discipline and facilitates learners'								
critical analysis of these perspectives.								
Standard 4q: The candidate recognizes the potential of	76	0.00%	25.00%	75.00%	2.75	3	3	0.43
bias in his/her representation of the discipline and seeks								
to appropriately address problems of bias.								
Standard 4r: The candidate is committed to work toward	76	0.00%	17.11%	82.89%	2.83	3	3	0.38
each learner's mastery of disciplinary content and skills.								
Total	304	0.33%	21.38%	78.29%	2.78	3	3	0.42

### **Standard #5: Application of Content** (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Dis	Distribution %			Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3	e			Deviation

Standard 5q: The candidate is constantly exploring how	76	0.00%	38.16%	61.84%	2.62	3	3	0.49
to use disciplinary knowledge as a lens to address local								
and global issues.								
Standard 5r: The candidate values knowledge outside	76	0.00%	18.42%	81.58%	2.82	3	3	0.39
his/her own content area and how such knowledge								
enhances student learning.								
Standard 5s: The candidate values flexible learning	76	0.00%	21.05%	78.95%	2.79	3	3	0.41
environments that encourage learner exploration,								
discovery, and expression across content areas.								
Total	228	0.00%	25.88%	74.12%	2.74	3	3	0.44

# Standard #6: Assessment (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Di	stribution '	%	Averag	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 6q: The candidate is committed to engaging	76	0.00%	19.74%	80.26%	2.8	3	3	0.4
learners actively in assessment processes and to								
developing each learner's capacity to review and								
communicate about their own progress and learning.								
Standard 6r: The candidate takes responsibility for	76	2.63%	22.37%	75.00%	2.72	3	3	0.5
aligning instruction and assessment with learning goals.								
Standard 6s: The candidate is committed to providing	76	0.00%	15.79%	84.21%	2.84	3	3	0.36
timely and effective descriptive feedback to learners on								
their progress.								
Standard 6t: The candidate is committed to using	76	0.00%	27.63%	72.37%	2.72	3	3	0.45
multiple types of assessment processes to support,								
verify, and document learning.								

Standard 6u: The candidate is committed to making	76	0.00%	15.79%	84.21%	2.84	3	3	0.36
accommodations in assessments and testing conditions,								
especially for learners with disabilities and language								
learning needs.								
Standard 6v: The candidate is committed to the ethical	76	0.00%	23.68%	76.32%	2.76	3	3	0.43
use of various assessments and assessment data to								
identify learner strengths and needs to promote learner								
growth.								
Total	456	0.44%	20.83%	78.73%	2.78	3	3	0.42

# **Standard #7: Planning for Instruction** (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Individual Response(s): 76 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Di	Distribution %		Averag	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 7n: The candidate respects learners' diverse strengths and needs and is committed to using this information to plan effective instruction.	76	0.00%	17.11%	82.89%	2.83	3	3	0.38
Standard 70: The candidate values planning as a collegial activity that takes into consideration the input of learners, colleagues, families, and the larger community.		0.00%	25.00%	75.00%	2.75	3	3	0.43
Standard 7p: The candidate takes professional responsibility to use short- and long-term planning as a means of assuring student learning.	76	1.32%	25.00%	73.68%	2.72	3	3	0.48
Standard 7q: The candidate believes that plans must always be open to adjustment and revision based on learner needs and changing circumstances.	76	0.00%	11.84%	88.16%	2.88	3	3	0.32
Total	304	0.33%	19.74%	79.93%	2.8	3	3	0.41

Standard #8: Instructional Strategies (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

**Total Individual Response(s):** 76 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Di	Distribution %		Averag	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 8p: The candidate is committed to deepening	76	0.00%	21.05%	78.95%	2.79	3	3	0.41
awareness and understanding the strengths and needs								
of diverse learners when planning and adjusting								
instruction.								
Standard 8q: The candidate values the variety of ways	76	0.00%	22.37%	77.63%	2.78	3	3	0.42
people communicate and encourages learners to								
develop and use multiple forms of communication.								
Standard 8r: The candidate is committed to exploring	76	1.32%	18.42%	80.26%	2.79	3	3	0.44
how the use of new and emerging technologies can								
support and promote student learning.								
Standard 8s: The candidate values flexibility and	76	0.00%	14.47%	85.53%	2.86	3	3	0.35
reciprocity in the teaching process as necessary for								
adapting instruction to learner responses, ideas, and								
needs.								
Total	304	0.33%	19.08%	80.59%	2.8	3	3	0.41

## Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %		Averag	Median	Mode	Standard	
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 9I: The candidate takes responsibility for	76	0.00%	21.05%	78.95%	2.79	3	3	0.41
student learning and uses ongoing analysis and reflection								
to improve planning and practice.								

Standard 9m: The candidate is committed to deepening	76	0.00%	22.37%	77.63%	2.78	3	3	0.42
understanding of his/her own frames of reference (e.g.,								
culture, gender, language, abilities, ways of knowing),								
the potential biases in these frames, and their impact on								
expectations for and relationships with learners and								
their families.								
Standard 9n: The candidate sees him/herself as a	76	1.32%	17.11%	81.58%	2.8	3	3	0.43
learner, continuously seeking opportunities to draw								
upon current education policy and research as sources of								
analysis and reflection to improve practice.								
Standard 90: The candidate understands the	76	1.32%	7.89%	90.79%	2.89	3	3	0.35
expectations of the profession including codes of ethics,								
professional standards of practice, and relevant law and								
policy.								
Total	304	0.66%	17.11%	82.24%	2.82	3	3	0.4

### Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration (Required Element)

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %		Averag	Median	Mode	Standard	
		1	2	3	e			Deviation
Standard 10p: The candidate actively shares	76	0.00%	19.74%	80.26%	2.8	3	3	0.4
responsibility for shaping and supporting the mission of								
his/her school as one of advocacy for learners and								
accountability for their success.								
Standard 10q: The candidate respects families' beliefs,	76	0.00%	15.79%	84.21%	2.84	3	3	0.36
norms, and expectations and seeks to work								
collaboratively								
Standard 10r: The candidate takes initiative to grow and	76	0.00%	15.79%	84.21%	2.84	3	3	0.36
develop with colleagues through interactions that								
enhance practice and support student learning.								

Standard 10s: The candidate takes responsibility for	76	0.00%	17.11%	82.89%	2.83	3	3	0.38
contributing to and advancing the profession.								
Standard 10t: The candidate embraces the challenge of	76	0.00%	10.53%	89.47%	2.89	3	3	0.31
continuous improvement and change.								
Total	380	0.00%	15.79%	84.21%	2.84	3	3	0.36

#### Continual improvement is very important to us, and your feedback is valuable.

This was my first experience as a cooperating teacher and it was excellent!

This survey is like the survey that I filled out with Mrs. Allcorn. The questions are repetitious. Maybe communication needs to improve between supervisors. Some these indicators indicate long term observation.

This experience as a cooperating teacher has been an incredible honor for me. I have enjoyed learning and practicing best teaching practices along with Mrs. Jones. I have been incredibly impressed with her relationships with students, her intuition, her thoughtfulness and flexibility during instruction. She asks pertinent and thoughtful questions and notices patterns in student behavior and learning.

I have learned a lot from this experience and have had the unique opportunity to reflect on my instruction and improve my classroom techniques and decision making. I feel lucky to have the opportunity to work and share a classroom with Mrs. Jones. She will be a blessing to any campus she is at. The students, staff and parents will quickly see her dedication, excitement and her heart for kids.

This class has been very challenging in that more than half of my students exhibited ADD tendencies. Dana is adaptive, flexible, and willing to meet the challenges that are set before her. She initiates her involvement and one can see that she is passionate about teaching. She will be an awesome asset to any school district that hires her.

The lengthy form ESOL forms that teachers fill out before each ESOL are not reflective of what we see in the classroom. Candidate's beliefs should not be on display. However, there should be questions concerning discipline, management, and general evaluation of job performance. I felt like there was little room to indicate what I needed the candidate to know. Teaching is 95% managing your classroom and students. I felt that this was not addressed in the lengthy sets of questions.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to work with a future educator. Caitlyn was a wonderful clinical teacher and I am confident that she will be very successful.

Taryn has been an outstanding clinical teacher!

standard 20....graded a "1" because we have no students with language barriers at this time

Shannon is going to be a great teacher. She strives daily to reach all learners in our classroom. I have been impressed with her dedication to her role as teacher. She has carefully planned activities to meet the needs of a diverse group of student learners.

Sayde was a blessing to my class! Thanks for sharing her with us!

Sayde has been a blessing to our class. We have enjoyed having her in here with us. She has been a tremendous help!

Proficiency is something that is never mastered due to change and individual growth. Jose has done an excellent job and will be a great teacher and continue to develop as all teachers do.

Over the past 28 years, I've had several student teachers. Hannah Bozeman is the best I've ever had, and I truly believe she is going to be an amazing educator. She is comfortable with the other educators on our campus, and she is comfortable with our students.

#### none

Ms. Stegall only observed one period a day for me, so it was difficult for her to truly take over and teach. She was, however, always extremely helpful, professional, and engaged with the students. She was a joy to have in my classroom.

Ms. Martinez did a great job. I enjoyed working with her.

Ms. Campbell will be an excellent teacher. She has a wonderful way of bringing out the passion for music in our students. Her professional attitude made me think of her as a colleague right from the start!

mrs.Martinez has shown great growth during the time she has been in my classroom. However, i feel like she is still developing on knowing how to teach indepth with grade level appropriate material. I have also discussed with mrs.Martinez about her classroom management and she has taken great consideration to all advice I have given her, I still feel like she is overall developing in all areas.

Mrs. Coleman was an exceptional clinical teacher. I told her I would be happy to be a reference for her as she applies for a teaching position.

Mr. Hightower had done a great job while he has been teaching with me. Any school that he applies to will be very lucky to have him. He is very knowledgable in the content area that he has been teaching in and I know that he will make a great Ag teacher.

Miss Camacho is an excellent student teacher. She has taken responsibility of being a teacher and implemented what she knows to teach my students. She adapts well to change, and is always open to new ideas.

Meagen was outstanding. She had a positive, go-getter attitude and worked well with all students. I wish I could keep her forever!!!!

#### KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK.

Katrina will be a valuable asset to any school. She will only get better with experience.

Katrina is going to be an exceptional addition to the educational arena!

Katie did a great job. She is calm and caring and has a peaceful presence about her which is advantageous in the Elementary setting. She will be an asset. As always, it has been a pleasure to work with the ASU Education Department and faculty.

It was a very wonderful experience to see Mr. Wilson grow as an educator the past few months. I wish him the best of luck in his future endeavors.

My suggestions for your program to better prepare teachers would be (1) special education/english language learner course to help prepare teachers for the variety of student needs they will face in the classroom (from teaching them what acronyms like ARD and IEP mean, showing them the paperwork, to strategies that are effective to use with these learners.) Also, from a history teacher's perspective, work with document based questions is an important experience to have in a college teaching class.

I have enjoyed having Kelsey in my classroom this semester. I would recommend her as a candidate for an elementary teaching position. Kelsey has a wonderful connection with children. Her punctuality, responsibility, and natural teaching ability would make her an asset to an elementary. She accomplishes tasks that are given to her with great initiative and with a positive attitude.

Based on conversations with her, I believe there needs to be more emphisis in college classes in areas that will help our clinical teachers in the real world. I think ASU should bring in teachers from around the area to come and talk to the student teachers about various topics and show examples or videos (ideas that they currently use).

I thought that some sections of the survey were difficult to answer and not needed.

I had the opportunity to have two amazing student teachers this year who are going to make wonderful special education teachers. I believe that the documentation we have to fill out is no compatible for special education programs and needs to be changed to suit our specific program.

I enjoyed working with Ms. Lopez. She is going to be a wonderful teacher.

For clinical teaching in the field of Agriculture Science, a more stringent requirement for clinical teachers is needed. As a Agriculture Science teacher you are required to attend after-school team training, Booster club meetings, and animal project supervision, to name a few. These after-school activities are numerous and require many extra hours. Many of the clinical teachers do not realize this and it is not required for them to attend these after hours activities during their time on the block. I feel this gives them a false sense of what being an Agriculture science teacher is like, and does not properly prepare them for the requirements that will be needed for a professional career.

Emilee was a great asset to our classroom and our whole campus!!!

Cassie was a great student teacher. She did an outstanding job not only with the material in class, but was extremely professional during her time at Wall HS.

April did an excellent job with our 5th graders. She had great rapport with students and staff. She was eager to find new ways to encourage students to do well in class. She was punctual and very efficient.

A better knowledge of the content will be valuable. It's important to be well planned and well informed on the information to be taught. Make sure to have a good working knowledge of the content prior to the lesson. Having high expectations in both behavior and work habits are good ways to keep poor behavior in check and to make sure students are aware that their best is always expected.

Get familiar with good higher level questioning techniques; we want the kiddos to be awesome thinkers. Then allow them time to figure it out; allow long wait times for responses. Create good peer to peer learning/teaching opportunities to cement those skills, information, etc.

Be the example of respect, care and kindness... kiddos learn EVERYTHING from you. Demonstrate and model words, tone of voice, and actions and kiddos will "copy" what they see done and allowed.

#### Certification Level of Clinical Teacher(s) (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
ECH-6 GEN	9	30.00%
ECH-6 w SpEd	10	33.33%
8-Apr	2	6.67%
12-Jul	6	20.00%
All Level	3	10.00%

### **Support through Materials & Communication**

### 1. University Supervisor Communication (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
Outstanding	18	60.00%
Above	9	30.00%
Average		
Average	3	10.00%
Below	0	
Average		

#### 2. Educator Preparation Program Materials (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
Outstanding	21	70.00%
Above	6	20.00%
Average		
Average	3	10.00%
Below	0	0.00%
Average		

### 3. Educator Preparation Program (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Count	Percent		
Outstanding	20	66.67%		
Above	7	23.33%		
Average				
Average	3	10.00%		
Below	0	0.00%		
Average				

### **Program Elements**

In which of the following areas would the clinical teacher(s) have benefitted from additional training?

Form Element Type: Multiple Answers Possible

Total Individual Response(s): 21 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
Content	4	19.05%
Knowledge		
Instruction	1	4.76%
and		
Pedagogical		
Content		
Knowledge		
Teaching	4	19.05%
Diverse		
Students		

Teaching	3	14.29%
Students with		
Diverse Needs		
Classroom	13	61.90%
Management		
Alignment of	4	19.05%
Teaching with		
State		
Standards		
Family and	1	4.76%
Community		
Engagement		
Assessment of	6	28.57%
Student		
Learning		
Motivating	2	9.52%
Students		
Curriculum	1	4.76%
Development		
and Lesson		
Planning		
Instructional	1	4.76%
Technology		
Certification	4	19.05%
Test		
Preparation		

**Professional Dispositions** 

### **Standard # 1: Learner Development** (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution %		Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 1h:	30	3.33%	6.67%	90.00%	2.87	3	3	0.43
The candidate								
respects								
learners'								
differing								
strengths and								
needs and is								
committed to								
using this								
information to								
further each								
learner's								
development.								
Standard 1i:	30	0.00%	16.67%	83.33%	2.83	3	3	0.37
The candidate								
is committed								
to using								
learners'								
strengths as a								
basis for								
growth, and								
their								
misconception								
s as								
opportunities								
for learning.								

Standard 1j: The candidate takes responsibility for promoting learners' growth and development.		0.00%	13.33%	86.67%	2.87	3	3	0.34
The candidate values the input and contributions of families, colleagues, and other professionals in understanding and supporting each learner's development.			6.67%	93.33%	2.93	3		0.25
Total	120	0.83%	10.83%	88.33%	2.88	3	3	0.36

### Standard #2: Learning Differences (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution %		Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 2I: The candidate	30	0.00%	3.33%	96.67%	2.97	3	3	0.18
believes that								
all learners can achieve at								
high levels								
and persists in helping each								
learner reach								
his/her full potential.								

Standard 2m:	30	0.00%	10.00%	90.00%	2.9	3	3	0.3
The candidate								
respects								
learners as								
individuals								
with differing								
personal and								
family								
backgrounds								
and various								
skills, abilities,								
perspectives,								
talents, and								
interests.								
Standard 2n:	30	0.00%	6.67%	93.33%	2.93	3	3	0.25
The candidate								
makes								
learners feel								
valued and								
helps them								
learn to value								
each other.								

Standard 2o:	30	0.00%	36.67%	63.33%	2.63	3	3	0.48
The candidate								
values diverse								
languages and								
dialects and								
seeks to								
integrate								
them into								
his/her								
instructional								
practice to								
engage								
students in								
learning.								
Total	120	0.00%	14.17%	85.83%	2.86	3	3	0.35

### Standard #3: Learning Environments (Required Element)

**Reference Label:** Learning Environments

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation

Standard 3n:	30	0.00%	6.67%	93.33%	2.93	3	3	0.25
1	30	0.00%	0.07%	93.33%	2.93	3	3	0.25
The candidate								
is committed								
to working								
with learners,								
colleagues,								
families, and								
communities								
to establish								
positive and								
supportive								
learning								
environments.								
	30	3.33%	13.33%	83.33%	2.8	3	3	0.48
The candidate								
values the role								
of learners in								
promoting								
each other's								
learning and								
recognizes the								
importance of								
peer								
relationships								
in establishing								
a climate of								
learning.								
1		[						
1								l l

Standard 3p:	30	0.00%	10.00%	90.00%	2.9	3	3	0.3
The candidate								
is committed								
to supporting								
learners as								
they								
participate in								
decision-								
making,								
engage in								
exploration								
and invention,								
work								
collaboratively								
and								
independently								
, and engage								
in purposeful								
learning.								
Standard 3q:	30	0.00%	6.67%	93.33%	2.93	3	3	0.25
The candidate								
seeks to foster								
respectful								
communicatio								
n among all								
members of								
the learning								
community.								

Standard 3r:	30	0.00%	3.33%	96.67%	2.97	3	3	0.18
The candidate								
is a thoughtful								
and								
responsive								
listener and								
observer.								
Total	150	0.67%	8.00%	91.33%	2.91	3	3	0.31

### Standard #4: Content Knowledge (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation

Standard 4o:	30	3.33%	13.33%	83.33%	2.8	3	3	0.48
The candidate								
realizes that								
content								
knowledge is								
not a fixed								
body of facts								
but is								
complex,								
culturally								
situated, and								
ever evolving.								
S/he keeps								
abreast of								
new ideas and								
understanding								
s in the field.								

lan	2 220/	22 22%	72 22%	2.7	2	2	0.53
	3.33/0	23.33/0	73.33/0	2.7	5	3	0.55
30	3.33%	20.00%	76.67%	2.73	3	3	0.51
	30	30 3.33%	30 3.33% 20.00%	30 3.33% 20.00% 76.67%	30 3.33% 20.00% 76.67% 2.73	30 3.33% 20.00% 76.67% 2.73 3	30 3.33% 20.00% 76.67% 2.73 3 3

Standard 4r:	30	0.00%	6.67%	93.33%	2.93	3	3	0.25
The candidate								
is committed								
to work								
toward each								
learner's								
mastery of								
disciplinary								
content and								
skills.								
Total	120	2.50%	15.83%	81.67%	2.79	3	3	0.46

# Standard #5: Application of Content (Required Element)

Reference Label: Application of Content

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution %		Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 5q:	30	0.00%	43.33%	56.67%	2.57	3	3	0.5
The candidate								
is constantly								
exploring how								
to use								
disciplinary								
knowledge as								
a lens to								
address local								
and global								
issues.								

Standard 5r:	30	3.33%	26.67%	70.00%	2.67	3	3	0.54
The candidate								
values								
knowledge								
outside								
his/her own								
content area								
and how such								
knowledge								
enhances								
student								
learning.								
	30	0.00%	20.00%	80.00%	2.8	3	3	0.4
The candidate								
values flexible								
learning								
environments								
that								
encourage								
learner								
exploration,								
discovery, and								
expression								
across content								
areas.								
Total	90	1.11%	30.00%	68.89%	2.68	3	3	0.49

## Standard #6: Assessment (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution %		Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 6q:	30	0.00%	20.00%	80.00%	2.8	3	3	0.4
The candidate								
is committed								
to engaging								
learners								
actively in								
assessment								
Standard 6r:	30	0.00%	26.67%	73.33%	2.73	3	3	0.44
The candidate								
takes								
responsibility								

Standard 6s:	30	0.00%	16.67%	83.33%	2.83	3	3	0.37
The candidate								
is committed								
to providing								
timely and								
effective								
descriptive								
feedback to								
learners on								
their progress.								
	30	0.00%	26.67%	73.33%	2.73	3	3	0.44
The candidate								
is committed								
to using								
multiple types of assessment								
processes to								
support, verify, and								
document								
learning.								
learning.								
1	1		1	I		I	l	

Standard 6u:	30	0.00%	23.33%	76.67%	2.77	3	3	0.42
The candidate								
is committed								
to making								
accommodati								
ons in								
assessments								
and testing								
conditions,								
especially for								
learners with								
disabilities								
and language								
learning								
needs.								

Standard 6v:	30	0.00%	23.33%	76.67%	2.77	3	3	0.42
The candidate								
is committed								
to the ethical								
use of various								
assessments								
and								
assessment								
data to								
identify								
learner								
strengths and								
needs to								
promote								
learner								
growth.								
Total	180	0.00%	22.78%	77.22%	2.77	3	3	0.42

### **Standard #7: Planning for Instruction** (Required Element)

**Reference Label:** Planning for Instruction

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation

Standard 7n:	30	3.33%	10.00%	86.67%	2.83	3	3	0.45
The candidate								
respects								
learners'								
diverse								
strengths and								
needs and is								
committed to								
using this								
information to								
plan effective								
instruction.								
Standard 7o:	30	0.00%	23.33%	76.67%	2.77	3	3	0.42
The candidate								
values								
planning as a								
collegial								
activity that								
takes into								
consideration								
the input of								
learners,								
colleagues,								
families, and								
the larger								
community.								

Standard 7p:	30	0.00%	26.67%	73.33%	2.73	3	3	0.44
The candidate								
takes								
professional								
responsibility								
to use short-								
and long-term								
planning as a								
means of								
assuring								
student								
learning.								
	30	3.33%	13.33%	83.33%	2.8	3	3	0.48
The candidate								
believes that								
plans must								
always be								
open to								
adjustment								
and revision								
based on								
learner needs								
and changing								
circumstances								
-								
Total	120	1.67%	18.33%	80.00%	2.78	3	3	0.45

**Standard #8: Instructional Strategies** (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution	n %	Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 8p:	30	3.33%	26.67%	70.00%	2.67	3	3	0.54
The candidate								
is committed								
to deepening								
awareness								
and								
understanding								
the strengths								
and needs of								
diverse								
learners when								
planning and								
adjusting								
instruction.								

Standard 8q:	30	0.00%	26.67%	73.33%	2.73	3	3	0.44
The candidate								
values the								
variety of								
ways people								
communicate								
and								
encourages								
learners to								
develop and								
use multiple								
forms of								
communicatio								
n.								
							_	
1	30	0.00%	36.67%	63.33%	2.63	3	3	0.48
The candidate								
is committed								
to exploring								
how the use of new and								
emerging technologies								
can support								
and promote								
student								
learning.								
licuiting.								
		l						

Standard 8s:	30	3.33%	13.33%	83.33%	2.8	3	3	0.48
The candidate								
values								
flexibility and								
reciprocity in								
the teaching								
process as								
necessary for								
adapting								
instruction to								
learner								
responses,								
ideas, and								
needs.								
Total	120	1.67%	25.83%	72.50%	2.71	3	3	0.49

#### Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice (Required Element)

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %		Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1 2 3					Deviation

Standard 9I:	30	0.00%	20.00%	80.00%	2.8	3	3	0.4
The candidate								
takes								
responsibility								
for student								
learning and								
uses ongoing								
analysis and								
reflection to								
improve								
planning and								
practice.								

Standard 9m:	30	0.00%	23.33%	76.67%	2.77	3	3	0.42
The candidate								
is committed								
to deepening								
understanding								
of his/her own								
frames of								
reference								
(e.g., culture,								
gender,								
language,								
abilities, ways								
of knowing),								
the potential								
biases in these								
frames, and								
their impact								
on								
expectations								
for and								
relationships								
with learners								
and their								
families.								

Standard 9n:	30	0.00%	16.67%	83.33%	2.83	3	3	0.37
The candidate								
sees								
him/herself as								
a learner,								
continuously								
seeking								
opportunities								
to draw upon								
current								
education								
policy and								
research as								
sources of								
analysis and								
reflection to								
improve								
practice.								

Standard 9o:	30	0.00%	16.67%	83.33%	2.83	3	3	0.37
The candidate								
understands								
the								
expectations								
of the								
profession								
including								
codes of								
ethics,								
professional								
standards of								
practice, and								
relevant law								
and policy.								
Total	120	0.00%	19.17%	80.83%	2.81	3	3	0.39

#### Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration (Required Element)

**Reference Label:** Planning for Instruction

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Individual Response(s): 30 Individual Response(s)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1 2 3					Deviation	

Standard 10p:	30	0.00%	13.33%	86.67%	2.87	3	3	0.34
The candidate								
actively shares								
responsibility								
for shaping								
and								
supporting the								
mission of								
his/her school								
as one of								
advocacy for								
learners and								
accountability								
for their								
success.								
Standard 10q:	30	0.00%	10.00%	90.00%	2.9	3	3	0.3
The candidate								
respects								
families'								
beliefs, norms,								
and								
expectations								
and seeks to								
work								
collaboratively								

Standard 10r:	30	0.00%	6.67%	93.33%	2.93	3	3	0.25
The candidate								
takes initiative								
to grow and								
develop with								
colleagues								
through								
interactions								
that enhance								
practice and								
support								
student								
learning.								
Standard 10s:	30	0.00%	16.67%	83.33%	2.83	3	3	0.37
The candidate		0.0075	_0.07,0	00.0070				
takes								
responsibility								
for								
contributing								
to and								
advancing the								
profession.								

Standard 10t:	30	0.00%	13.33%	86.67%	2.87	3	3	0.34
The candidate								
embraces the								
challenge of								
continuous								
improvement								
and change.								
Total	150	0.00%	12.00%	88.00%	2.88	3	3	0.32

#### **Certification Level of Clinical Teacher(s)**

Total Individual Response(s): 67 Individual Response(s)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
ECH-6 GEN	26	38.81%
ECH-6 w SpEd	20	29.85%
4 thru 8	6	8.96%
7 thru 12	13	19.40%
All Level	2	2.99%

#### **Support through Materials & Communication**

### 1. University Supervisor Communication (Required Element)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
Outstanding	39	58.21%
Above Average	19	28.36%
Average	8	11.94%
Below Average	1	1.49%

#### 2. Educator Preparation Program Materials (Required Element)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
Outstanding	41	61.19%
Above Average	19	28.36%
Average	7	10.45%
Below Average	0	0.00%

#### 3. Educator Preparation Program (Required Element)

Response(s)	Count	Percent
Outstanding	37	55.22%
Above Average	23	34.33%
Average	6	8.96%
Below Average	1	1.49%

#### **Program Elements**

In which of the following areas would the clinical teacher(s) have benefitted from additional training?

Response(s)	Count	Percent
Content Knowledge	16	29.63%
Instruction and	7	12.96%
Teaching Diverse	6	11.11%
Teaching Students	15	27.78%
Classroom	28	51.85%
Alignment of	6	11.11%
Family and	3	5.56%
Assessment of	9	16.67%
Motivating Students	9	16.67%
Curriculum	14	25.93%
Instructional	6	11.11%
Certification Test	9	16.67%

#### **Professional Dispositions**

#### Standard # 1: Learner Development (Required Element)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 1h: The	67	1.49%	20.90%	77.61%	2.76	3	3	0.46
Standard 1i: The	67	2.99%	20.90%	76.12%	2.73	3	3	0.51
Standard 1j: The	67	1.49%	28.36%	70.15%	2.69	3	3	0.5
Standard 1k: The	67	1.49%	22.39%	76.12%	2.75	3	3	0.47
Total	268	1.87%	23.13%	75.00%	2.73	3	3	0.48

### Standard #2: Learning Differences (Required Element)

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 2I: The	67	1.49%	14.93%	83.58%	2.82	3	3	0.42
Standard 2m: The	67	1.49%	16.42%	82.09%	2.81	3	3	0.43
Standard 2n: The	67	1.49%	19.40%	79.10%	2.78	3	3	0.45
Standard 20: The	67	1.49%	29.85%	68.66%	2.67	3	3	0.5
Total	268	1.49%	20.15%	78.36%	2.77	3	3	0.46

#### **Standard #3: Learning Environments** (Required Element)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution %			Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 3n: The	67	1.49%	19.40%	79.10%	2.78	3	3	0.45
Standard 3o: The	67	1.49%	22.39%	76.12%	2.75	3	3	0.47
Standard 3p: The	67	1.49%	23.88%	74.63%	2.73	3	3	0.48
Standard 3q: The	67	1.49%	26.87%	71.64%	2.7	3	3	0.49
Standard 3r: The	67	1.49%	13.43%	85.07%	2.84	3	3	0.41
Total	335	1.49%	21.19%	77.31%	2.76	3	3	0.46

#### Standard #4: Content Knowledge (Required Element)

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution %		Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 4o: The	67	5.97%	31.34%	62.69%	2.57	3	3	0.6
Standard 4p: The	67	1.49%	23.88%	74.63%	2.73	3	3	0.48
Standard 4q: The	67	1.49%	28.36%	70.15%	2.69	3	3	0.5
Standard 4r: The	67	1.49%	28.36%	70.15%	2.69	3	3	0.5
Total	268	2.61%	27.99%	69.40%	2.67	3	3	0.52

### Standard #5: Application of Content (Required Element)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 5q: The	67	4.48%	46.27%	49.25%	2.45	2	3	0.58
Standard 5r: The	67	2.99%	25.37%	71.64%	2.69	3	3	0.52
Standard 5s: The	67	2.99%	25.37%	71.64%	2.69	3	3	0.52
Total	201	3.48%	32.34%	64.18%	2.61	3	3	0.56

#### Standard #6: Assessment (Required Element)

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution	n %	Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 6q: The	67	2.99%	31.34%	65.67%	2.63	3	3	0.54
Standard 6r: The	67	5.97%	25.37%	68.66%	2.63	3	3	0.59
Standard 6s: The	67	1.49%	28.36%	70.15%	2.69	3	3	0.5
Standard 6t: The	67	2.99%	35.82%	61.19%	2.58	3	3	0.55
Standard 6u: The	67	1.49%	29.85%	68.66%	2.67	3	3	0.5
Standard 6v: The	67	1.49%	32.84%	65.67%	2.64	3	3	0.51
Total	402	2.74%	30.60%	66.67%	2.64	3	3	0.53

### **Standard #7: Planning for Instruction** (Required Element)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 7n: The	67	1.49%	23.88%	74.63%	2.73	3	3	0.48
Standard 7o: The	67	1.49%	31.34%	67.16%	2.66	3	3	0.51
Standard 7p: The	67	2.99%	29.85%	67.16%	2.64	3	3	0.54
Standard 7q: The	67	2.99%	16.42%	80.60%	2.78	3	3	0.48
Total	268	2.24%	25.37%	72.39%	2.7	3	3	0.5

#### Standard #8: Instructional Strategies (Required Element)

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 8p: The	67	1.49%	28.36%	70.15%	2.69	3	3	0.5
Standard 8q: The	67	1.49%	22.39%	76.12%	2.75	3	3	0.47
Standard 8r: The	67	2.99%	29.85%	67.16%	2.64	3	3	0.54
Standard 8s: The	67	2.99%	28.36%	68.66%	2.66	3	3	0.53
Total	268	2.24%	27.24%	70.52%	2.68	3	3	0.51

#### Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice (Required Element)

Response Legend: 1 = Improvement Needed 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient

Rated Item(s)	Total		Distribution %			Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 9I: The	67	2.99%	25.37%	71.64%	2.69	3	3	0.52
Standard 9m: The	67	1.49%	25.37%	73.13%	2.72	3	3	0.48
Standard 9n: The	67	2.99%	16.42%	80.60%	2.78	3	3	0.48
Standard 9o: The	67	1.49%	22.39%	76.12%	2.75	3	3	0.47
Total	268	2.24%	22.39%	75.37%	2.73	3	3	0.49

#### Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration (Required Element)

Rated Item(s)	Total	Distribution %			Average	Median	Mode	Standard
		1	2	3				Deviation
Standard 10p: The	67	1.49%	23.88%	74.63%	2.73	3	3	0.48
Standard 10q: The	67	1.49%	14.93%	83.58%	2.82	3	3	0.42
Standard 10r: The	67	1.49%	20.90%	77.61%	2.76	3	3	0.46
Standard 10s: The	67	1.49%	19.40%	79.10%	2.78	3	3	0.45
Standard 10t: The	67	2.99%	14.93%	82.09%	2.79	3	3	0.47
Total	335	1.79%	18.81%	79.40%	2.78	3	3	0.46