The Angelo State University Energy Savings Update is being submitted in accordance with Governor’s Executive Order, RP 49, Energy Conservation by State Agencies.

1. Energy Goals
   * 1. Campus Energy Use

Energy units are converted to kBtu to allow for comparisons of electricity and natural gas usage. Goals and energy use are then stated in kBtu/sq ft. Estimated savings are based on energy consumption for the same time period from the previous year normalized to current energy costs and campus square footage. It does not take into consideration the climate difference between periods.

In the fiscal year for 2010 the entire campus used 89.3 kBtu/Sq Ft. That was an increase of 4.65% from the previous year, but we still had an estimated savings of $108,757. This is the savings based on the criteria listed above. Even though there was an increase in the energy use per square foot, there was an overall dollar savings due to the cost per square foot for utilities.

In Table I, the campus energy use is broken down by utility type. The percent change column is the energy usage change from fiscal year 2009 to 2010.

Table I: Campus Energy Use (kBtu/Sq ft): FY2007-FY2010

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Utility | FY07 | FY08 | FY09 | FY10 | % Change | Est. Savings |
| Electricity | 65.1301 | 62.6497 | 60.0636 | 60.6060 | Up 0.90% | $130,923.66 |
| Nat. Gas | 39.5368 | 23.8598 | 25.2445 | 28.6709 | Up 13.57% | ($22,166.54) |
| Total | 104.6670 | 86.5096 | 85.3081 | 89.2769 | Up 4.65% | $108,757.12 |

In Table II, the campus energy is broken down to compare only the first quarter of FY 2011 to the same time the previous year; it shows a 3.8% increase in overall usage. Due to the record number of students and extended hours being offered by the university we are pleased that the increase is so little. The university still plans on lowering our consumption even with the increase in people and hours at the university. The savings is calculated from the usage change in the utility and the current price paid for that utility.

Table II: Campus Energy Use (kbtu/sq ft): Sep. 2010 – Nov. 2010   
For the First Quarter of the Fiscal Year

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Utility | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | % Change | Est. Savings |
| Electricity | 16.00 | 16.26 | Up 1.58% | -$13,191.99 |
| Nat. Gas | 6.11 | 5.01 | Down 17.97% | $11,845.62 |
| Total | 22.11 | 21.27 | Down 3.82% | -$1,346.37 |

* + 1. House Bill 3693

In Compliance with House Bill 3693, Angelo State University set a goal to reduce total electrical consumption by 2% for Fiscal Year 2011. Table III below shows the kilowatt hours per square foot for the entire campus quarterly. This is all electrical usage whether it is in a building or on the grounds. It shows an increase in electrical consumption of 1.5% for the first quarter of fiscal year 2011 as compared to the previous year. It also showed a 4.6% reduction for FY2010 and the steady decline that has occurred for each fiscal year shown.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table III: Entire Campus Electricity Usage in kwh/sq ft | | | | | | |  |
| Fiscal Year Quarter | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | % change from previous year |
| 1st Qtr | 5.60 | 5.27 | 5.21 | 4.82 | 4.76 | 4.83 | Up 1.51% |
| 2nd Qtr | 5.04 | 4.65 | 4.50 | 4.36 | 4.34 |  |  |
| 3rd Qtr | 4.96 | 4.40 | 4.52 | 4.54 | 3.99 |  |  |
| 4th Qtr | 4.70 | 4.77 | 4.72 | 4.98 | 4.74 |  |  |
| Yearly Total | 20.29 | 19.09 | 18.95 | 18.70 | 17.83 |  |  |

* + 1. Fleet Management

In FY2009, Angelo State University consumed 29,243 gallons of fuel and traveled 331,717 miles. In FY2010, Angelo State University consumed 26,862 gallons of fuel and traveled 296,695 miles. This represented a 3% decrease in the fuel efficiency from the previous year. However, due to the increased use of electric vehicles there was a 10% decrease in the miles driven and an 8% decrease in the amount of fuel consumed from the previous year.

In Table IV the vehicle fleet is broken down by number of vehicles, miles driven, gallons used, cost of those gallons, cost per mile and miles per gallon for fiscal years 2006 thru 2010.

Table IV: Fleet Vehicle Usage: FY2006 - FY2009

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vehicles | Number | Miles | Gallons | Cost | Cost Per Mile | Miles Per Gallon |
| FY2006 | 58 | 245,217 | 20,311 | $51,113 | $0.2084 | 12.0731 |
| FY2007 | 61 | 272,780 | 23,580 | $57,770 | $0.2118 | 11.5683 |
| FY2008 | 63 | 298,905 | 25,318 | $81,288 | $0.2720 | 11.8060 |
| FY2009 | 67 | 331,717 | 29,243 | $66,231 | $0.1997 | 11.3435 |
| FY2010 | 71 | 296,695 | 26,862 | $68,441 | $0.2307 | 11.0452 |

At the end of FY2010 there were 70 vehicles in the university’s fleet. Eleven of those vehicles are 2009 and 2010 year models. This makes 27 vehicles that are 5 years old or newer – 38% of the fleet. However, the university also has 27 vehicles that are 10 years old or older. Having the percentage of newer vehicles continue to grow will help improve our efficiencies.

In Table V the miles per gallon is shown broken down by each fiscal quarter with the fiscal year summary on the right side. The university goal is still to be at 12 MPG and by focusing on improving the efficiencies of the older vehicles that is obtainable. If we remove the 8 vehicles used by the university police department the annual average moves up to 12.3 MPG. Those 8 vehicles average 7.4 MPG.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table V: Historical Efficiency of Vehicle Fleet in MPG | | | | | |
| MPG | 1st Qtr | 2nd Qtr | 3rd Qtr | 4th Qtr | Annual |
| FY07 | 11.6 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 11.6 |
| FY08 | 11.9 | 12 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 11.8 |
| FY09 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 11.3 |
| FY10 | 11.5 | 11 | 11 | 10.6 | 11 |
| FY11 | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |

B. Current Energy Reduction Plans

1. Campus Energy Use
   1. Continue to monitor the upgrades/replacements to air handlers, electrical equipment and items at the central plant as according to the performance contract Angelo State University has with Tour Andover Controls (TAC). This is a $13 million dollar energy savings project for the university that is to be paid over the next 15 years (2021) with the money saved from the improvements. The installations were completed in February 2009.
   2. Maintain consistent temperatures across campus and don’t deviate to please individuals. The university has changed the original set points in order to save even more energy. For Cooling, a set point of 74 degrees (73 degrees was the original). For Heating, a set point of 68 degrees (70 degrees was the original). This change was adopted by the university in January 2011.
   3. The elimination of personal space heaters.
   4. Informing and training personnel to turn off computers, monitors, printers and such when not in use and overnight.
   5. Closely monitor the utility meters for discrepancies and unexpected usage amounts. Verify anomalies and correct problems.
   6. Inform university policy makers on the worst energy performing buildings and try to eliminate or make those buildings more efficient.
2. Fleet management
   1. Continually improve overall fuel efficiency of fleet vehicles by replacing older, inefficient vehicles with newer, more efficient vehicles.
   2. Continue the aggressive Preventative Maintenance program to maintain all vehicles at their peak efficiency.
   3. Continue to utilize the State’s Fleet Data Management System. The Fleet Management office will continue to use the State Fleet database to monitor vehicle utilization, efficiency, maintenance and accuracy of vehicle reporting.  Any discrepancies will immediately be addressed with appropriate vehicle custodians.
   4. Educate personnel on the efficient use of University vehicles. The Fleet Management office has informed all vehicle custodians of Governor Perry’s Executive Order and the university’s established goal of 12 mpg.
   5. Continue to expand the use of electric carts. ASU already has newer carts on order that are more efficient and plans to continue expanding the usage of carts over gas powered vehicles in years to come.

C. Future Energy Reduction Plans

1. Continue gathering data on the use of roof top solar cells for lowering the costs of electricity.
2. The continued infrastructure improvements and use of software monitoring and scheduling under the performance contract.
3. The Information Technology department is looking into different ways to lower the energy consumption of the 1800+ computers on campus.
4. Use energy efficient products when remodeling and expanding buildings. Plan for LEED certifications on any major expansions or new buildings.

D. Fuel Consumption Reduction Plans

1. The Fleet Management office will network with vehicle custodians to exchange information on vehicle efficiency and solicit additional best practices and other creative initiatives to improve the efficiency of the university vehicle fleet.
2. For all parties to encourage facility technicians and other departments to use electric carts when at all possible.
3. The Fleet Management office will continue to use off site shops to keep the vehicles in the best condition possible to increase fuel efficiency.
4. When funds are available, acquire new vehicles and dispose of older less efficient ones.
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