ASU Faculty Senate Minutes
3 p.m., February 28th, 2018, VISTA VERDE ROOM

Called to order 3:02

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Erin Ashworth-King, Pres.</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Matthew Gritter, VP</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Michael Burnett, Sec.</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Siefker, Parl.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Nick Negovetich</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Jennifer Braziel</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie Brown</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>David Carter</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jordan Daniel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Dewar</td>
<td></td>
<td>David Faught</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kevin Garrison</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Hettick</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Randy Hicks</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>John Irish</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Kreitler</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Kim Livengood</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Flor Madero</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Mangano</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Kendra Nicks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lopa Roychoudhuri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Lee Atkins</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>- Rob LaGrande</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Runyan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Marva Solomon</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Andy Wallace</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson de la Pena Atehortua</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anne Scaggs (Social Work)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Approval of Current Agenda. - 1 change (correct date)

II. Invited Guests
   A. Dr. Brian May, President
   B. Dr. Don Topliff, Provost and VP for Academic Affairs
   C. Dr. Javier Flores, VP for Student Affairs and Enrollment Management
   D. Kent Corder, Staff Senate Chairperson
   E. Emily Hecox, Student Senate President

III. Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting
   • Approved with 1 abstention

IV. Standing Committee Reports
   A. Academic Affairs (Dr. Andy Wallace)
      1. Reports below in OPs
   B. Bylaws and Standing Rules (Dr. Lopamudra Roychoudhuri)
      1. No report
   C. University Affairs (Dr. Crystal Kreitler)
      1. Reports below
   D. Student Affairs (Dr. David Faught)
      1. No report
   E. External Affairs (Dr. Jordan Daniel)
      1. No report
   F. Committee on Committees (Dr. Matt Gritter)
      1. Survey for committees being deployed tomorrow to faculty
      2. Due April 11
V. Unfinished Business

A. President’s Awards Update
   1. 5 turned in so far, which is typical
   2. Reminder email going out soon

B. Instructional Technology Committee
   1. A new committee being formed, widely supported by Deans, Provost, President, Fac Senate Exec Committee
   2. Who should be on it? 1 fac + 1 Inst. Design per college? 2 fac per college? 1 fac from undergrad, 1 from grad?
   3. Instructional Designers have a monthly meeting
   4. Feeling for more Faculty than Staff on this committee
   5. Certain at-large members (E-learning, IT, Library)?
   6. Can the number change as the need changes? YES
   7. Head of IT, E-learning, Library, 2 Instructional Designers, 10 Faculty (2 from each college)
   8. Move to table – approved with 2 abstentions
   9. Senators are encouraged to ask colleagues about thoughts on the committee

C. OP 06.30: Senior Instructor, Clinical Professor Merit Raise
   1. OP proposed corrections sent with agenda
   2. Unanimous approval

D. Operating Policies to Review / Revise
   06.19 Regulations for Performance Evaluation of Tenured Faculty
   http://www.angelo.edu/content/files/14154-op-0619-regulations-for-performance-evaluation-of
   1. OP proposed corrections sent with agenda
   2. Unanimous approval

E. 06.25 Non-Tenure-Eligible Faculty Titles
   http://www.angelo.edu/content/files/14150-op-0625-non-tenure-eligible-faculty-titles
   1. OP proposed corrections sent with agenda
   2. Essentially same document minus references to promotion and tenure
   3. Moved and approved, 1 abstention

F. Operating Policies to Review/Revise (discussion)
   1. 06.01 Academic Deans and Department Chairpersons
      a) original handed out
      b) Colleges need to be updated
      c) Chair evaluation mechanism has not been used
      d) IDEA Results need to be available in some form
      e) Should the process be similar for the Deans?
      f) Except that they are not on a 3 year term
      g) Sent to Academic Affairs for revision
   2. 06.09 Faculty Departure Notification Procedure [original attached]
      a) Date for notification
b) Some faculty wait until after summer session to notify (due to having benefits, etc...)
c) Upper admin is fine with striking #6...but faculty would have to teach over summer to get benefits
d) Sent to Academic Affairs

3. 06.12 Faculty Leave of Absence [original attached]
   a) Moved and approved unanimous

4. 06.24 Academic Administrator Returning to Full-Time Faculty [original attached]
   a) Full time administrators, not department chairs
   b) Chairs are on 9 month contracts with stipend for the summers
   c) Moved and approved unanimous

VI. New Business
NONE

VII. Roundtable
A. Parking in front of Rasmussen – concerns aired
B. Curriculum Issues
   a. Catalog will be updated to reflect current “Texas Core” and the numbers for the component areas
   b. Moving GS out of core, talk of labs being out of the 090 option B
   c. Could free up 5 more hours for majors (GS 1181, lab sciences)
   d. Departments have been tasked to look at curriculum to make sure it is what they want
   e. Specifically any possible impediments to graduation
C. Required Minor
   a. Possibility to remove the minor from the BA and BS degrees
   b. ASU is one of 2 universities requiring minors in Texas
   c. Not removing minors, but the requirement to have a minor
   d. Look at department numbers (fac and students) on how minor is a plus or minus
   e. Faculty will be provided a forum for feedback
   f. Slated for fall 2019 at the earliest
   g. 42 hour majors is a Coord. Board rule
   h. 1 member and department are “dead-set” against this
   i. From a concerned senator not in attendance – read into the record: “I, and my constituents, are dead set against eliminating minors. I can pull data, which we should evaluate as part of this process, but anecdotally I can say that minors are the lifeblood of our department. Upper-division classes, taught by tenure-track faculty, give faculty the opportunity to integrate their research into the classroom in ways that just aren’t possible with lower-level classes. Further, without minors, some of those upper-division classes won’t make. When the upper-division history classes don’t make, we descend into the perception of being a service department rather than the vibrant contributor to a humanities education that is at the heart of the university’s mission. I will always vote no on this issue.”
j. Is this the faculty giving up another piece of the curriculum?

VIII. Adjournment
—Moved and approved at 4:37