

# Social Work Program MSW Field Education Student Evaluation Advanced Generalist Specialization

Student		Semester
Agency		
Field Instructor		Date
	Midterm Evaluation or	Final Evaluation (Select One)

This form is designed for the assessment of the student's performances in Field Education and readiness for entry level generalist social work practice. The uniqueness of the field instruction setting, client population, and student are considered in reviewing ratings for reporting student progress.

Students are expected to make progress in each of the skill areas listed on this form. Satisfactory achievement in each of the performances areas will be rated using the following scale:

- **0** = **Poor:** Student did not display the skills, values, knowledge, or cognitive/ affective processes expected of a social work student to meet competencies.
- 1 = **Needs Improvement:** Demonstrates acceptable social work skills, but lack in Professionalism.
- 2 = Meets Minimum Expectations: Demonstrates the minimum skills required of a social work student.
- 3 = Competent: Demonstrates abilities that are expected of a social work student.
- 4 = Superior: Job/Skill performance surpasses what one would expect of a student.
- N/A = Not Applicable: Student has not had opportunity to meet this practice behavior but should meet all practice behaviors by the Spring Semester's final evaluation.

If a rating of 0-2 is given, the Field Instructor should provide an explanation in the "comments" section and should contact the Social Work Field Education Liaison and/or Director immediately.

The Field Instructor will evaluate the student's level of achievement and place the appropriate number on the space indicated. There are two evaluations made per semester, the first at midterm, and the second at the completion of the practicum. It is expected that the Field Instructor and student will discuss the evaluation prior to the evaluation conference with the Social Work Field Education Liaison.

### \*Social Work Faculty will complete the Section Total and Section Mean blanks\*

0	1	2	3	4
Poor	Needs	Meets	Competent	superior
	Improvement	Minimum		
		Expectations		

### **Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior**

1.							andards of the NASW Code of Ethics, relevant
			_				lecision-making, ethical conduct of research, iate to context;
						N/A	
2							— age personal values and maintain
۷.					_	ations.	age personal values and maintain
	-			-		N/A	
3.							— ehavior; appearance; and oral, written, and
			ommu				
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
4.							ly to facilitate practice outcomes.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	· 
5.	Use su	ıpervi	sion ar	nd con	sultati	on to guide	e professional judgment and behavior.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
6.	Engag	e with	ı client	s and	colleag	gues conve	ying a strength-based approach and attitude.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	<u></u>
7.	Demo	nstrat	e profe	ession	al and	ethical soc	ial work conduct in areas of practice.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	<u></u>
8.	Demo	nstrat	e the a	bility	to criti	cally analy	ze cases and articulate how to resolve in an
	ethica						
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	<u> </u>
Comm	ents:						
	CIIt3.						
C	m						0.4.34
Section	n Total						Section Mean

### **Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice**

9.						•	of the importance of diversity and difference in
	shapii	ng life	experi	ences	in prac	ctice at th	e micro, mezzo, and macro levels.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
10	). Prese	nt the	mselve	s as le	earners	and eng	age clients and constituencies as experts of their
	own e	xperie	ences.				
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
11						•	n to manage the influence of personal biases and od constituencies.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
12	. Demo	nstrat	e cultu	irally o	centere	ed practio	e that recognizes the diversity that exists
	betwe	en, ar	nong,	and w	ithin d	ifferent g	roups.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
13	. Apply	self- a	waren	ess to	mana	ge the inf	luence of personal biases and values in working
	with o	liverse	indivi	duals,	familie	es, group	s, organizations, communities and
	consti	tuenc	ies.				
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
Comm	ents:						
Sectio	n Total						Section Mean

### Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice

2	14. Appl	y their	unders	standir	ng of s	ocial, eco	nomic, and environmental justice to advocate
	for h	uman ı	rights a	at the i	ndivid	ual and s	ystem levels
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
2	15. Enga	ge in p	ractice	s that	advan	ce social,	economic, and environmental justice.
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
-	16. Dem	onstrat	te effe	ctive a	nd dip	lomatic s	kills in advocacy
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
-	17. Decc	nstruc	t situat	tions (d	culture	e, social, p	political, economic, etc.) where human rights are
	bein	g violat	ed.				
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
2	18. Com	pare ho	ow soc	ial, ecc	onomi	c, cultura	, and political frameworks can oppress and
	viola	te hum	nan righ	nts.			
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
Com	ments:						
-							<del></del>
Sect	ion Tota	1					Section Mean
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		•					

# Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice 19. Use practice experience and theory to inform scientific inquiry and research. 0\_\_\_\_\_1\_\_\_2\_\_\_3\_\_\_4\_\_\_\_N/A\_\_\_ 20. Apply critical thinking to engage in analysis of quantitative and qualitative research methods and research findings 0\_\_\_\_\_1\_\_\_2\_\_\_3\_\_\_4\_\_\_\_N/A\_\_\_ 21. Use and translate research evidence to inform and improve practice, policy, and service delivery. 0\_\_\_\_\_1\_\_\_2\_\_\_3\_\_\_4\_\_\_\_N/A\_\_\_ 22. Appraise, evaluate, and propose various methods of program evaluation. 0\_\_\_\_\_1\_\_\_2\_\_\_3\_\_\_4\_\_\_\_N/A\_\_\_ 23. Evaluate how personal values and biases impact research-informed practice and practice-informed research. 0\_\_\_\_\_\_1\_\_\_2\_\_\_3\_\_\_4\_\_\_\_N/A\_\_\_\_ Comments:

Section Mean \_\_\_\_\_

Section Total \_\_\_\_\_

### **Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice**

24	. Identi	ify soc	ial poli	cy at t	he loca	cal, state, and federal level that impacts well-being, serv
	delive	ery, an	d acce	ss to s	ocial se	services.
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A
25	. Asses	s how	social	welfar	e and	economic policies impact the delivery of and access to
	social	servic	es.			
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A
26	. Apply	critica	al think	king to	analyz	ze, formulate, and advocate for policies that advance
	huma	n righ	ts and	social,	econo	omic, and environmental justice.
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A
27	. Demo	nstrat	e lead	ership	in the	e organizational change process.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A
28	. Evalu	ate the	e impa	ct (inte	ended	and unintended) of global polices and regulations.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A
29	. Interp	ret so	cietal t	trends	in ord	der to develop and promote the empowerment of
	vulne	rable p	oopula	tions v	vithin :	social work practice.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A
Comm	ents:					
ectio	n Total					Section Mean

### Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

	and co										
						N/A					
31.		-	•		, and ii	nterperson	al skills to	effectiv	ely enga	age diverse	e clients
	and co										
						N/A					
32.	Organ	izatior	ns, and	l Comi	-	rocess that es to be eq		-			-
	expec										
						N/A					
33.	•					ement strates s within a	_				s, groups
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A					
34.	Utilize	self-r	eflecti	ve and	d intra	ersonal sk	ills to effe	ctively e	engage o	diverse ind	lividuals,
	familie	es, gro	ups, o	rganiz	ations	, communi	ties, and c	onstitue	encies.		
		_	2	3	4	N/A					
	0	1									
	0	1									
nm e		1									
omme		1	2								
omme 		1									
omme		1									
omme 		1	2								
omme		1	2								
omme		1									
omme		1									

### Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities.

35	. Collec	ct and	organi	ze data	a, and	apply crit	ical thinking to interpret information from
	client	s and o	constit	uencie	es.		
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
36	. Apply	know	ledge (	of hum	nan be	havior and	d the social environment, person-in-
	enviro	onmen	nt, and	other	multic	disciplinar	y theoretical frameworks in the analysis of
	asses	sment	data f	rom cli	ients a	nd consti	tuencies.
	0	1	2	3	4	N/A	
37							n goals and objectives based on the critical
		-	•	_			llenges within clients and constituencies
						N/A	_
38		_					es based on the assessment, research
			•			_	of clients and constituencies.
					•	N/A	
39		_					nce theory has on assessment process.
						N/A	·
40		_					mdividuals, families, groups, organizations, and
					•		eoretical model.
					-	N/A	
41							ning strategies that empower service recipients.
						N/A	
~							
Comm	ents:						
Section	n Total						Section Mean

### Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

4	2. Critic	ally ch	oose a	nd im	olemer	nt interve	ntions to achieve practice goals and enhance
	capa	cities o	f clien	ts and	consti	tuencies.	
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
4	3. Appl	y know	ledge	of hun	nan be	havior an	d the social environment, person-in-
	envir	onmen	nt, and	other	multic	lisciplinar	y theoretical frameworks in interventions with
	clien	ts and o	constit	uencie	es.		
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
4	4. Use i	nter-pr	ofessi	onal co	ollabor	ation as a	ppropriate to achieve beneficial practice
	outco	omes.					
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
4.	5. Nego	tiate, r	nediat	e, and	advoc	ate with a	and on behalf of diverse clients and
	cons	tituenc	ies.				
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
4	6. Facili	tate ef	fective	trans	itions a	and endin	gs that advance mutually agreed-on goals.
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
4	7. Utiliz	e leade	ership	skills t	o pron	note susta	inable change in the intervention process.
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
4	8. Imple	ement	effecti	ve inte	erventi	on strate	gies to work with individuals, families, groups,
							a particular theoretical model.
	0	_ 1	2	3	4	N/A	
4	9. Imple	ement	the str	ategie	s that	empower	individuals, families, groups, organizations, and
	comr	nunitie	es in va	rious (	contex	ts of prac	tice.
	0	_ 1	2	3	44	N/A	
Comr	nents:						
Saction	on Tota	1					Section Magn

## Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
omme	ents:					
						<del> ·</del>
50.	•			•		N/A
56						nformed from a particular theoretical model.
55.	based	knowl	ledge :	and pr	actice	grate multiple sources of knowledge, including researche wisdom to achieve target outcomeN/A
						N/A
	•			•		mmunities.
54						measure the extent of change to individuals, families,
				3	4	N/A
J3.	macro			iiiuiiig	3 (0 111)	inprove practice effectiveness at the fillero, filezzo, and
50						N/A nprove practice effectiveness at the micro, mezzo, and
	outcor		2	2	4	21/2
52	. Critica	lly ana	alyze, ı	monito	or, and	d evaluate intervention and program processes and
			2	3	4	N/A
	outcor		t, and	Other	marcio	disciplinary theoretical frameworks in the evaluation of
51.			_			ehavior and the social environment, person-in- disciplinary theoretical frameworks in the evaluation of
<b>-</b> 1						N/A
			2	2	4	N1 / A

1. Identify the student's strengths and outstanding abilities.						
2. Identify areas which need continued attention or improvement.						
4. Other Comments:						

SIGNATURES:	
Student Signature	Date
Agency MSW Field Instructor Signature	Date
Social Work MSW Field Education Liaison (Faculty)	 Date